

Appl. No. : 09/900,487  
Filed : July 6, 2001

### REMARKS

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed on August 26, 2004.

I. Substance of Telephone Interview

During a telephone interview conducted with Examiner Thein on October 6, 2004, Applicants' representative pointed out that Barnes and Kenney do not disclose or suggest Applicants' invention as claimed. Possible amendments to Claims 27 and 32 were discussed during the interview, and no exhibits were shown.

Although no agreement was reached during the telephone interview, the Examiner subsequently advised Applicants' representative, in a telephone conversation on October 19, 2004, that the application would be allowable if Applicants cancel Claims 27-30, revise Claim 44 to recite "computer-executable instructions," and revise Claim 46 by changing "wherein the server is responsive" to "the server being responsive."

II. Discussion of Amendments

By this Amendment, Applicants are amending the claims as suggested by the Examiner (note that Applicants are also canceling Claims 51 and 52 because they depend from canceled Claim 27). In view of these amendments, Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance.

If any issues remain which can potentially be resolved by telephone, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney of record at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 10-22-04

By: 

Ronald J. Schoenbaum  
Registration No. 38,297  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 721-2950